arXiv:1605.01230v2 [math.LO] 2 Nov 2016

Notes on divisible MV-algebras

Serafina Lapenta, Ioana Leuştean

Abstract. In these notes we study the class of divisible MV-algebras inside the algebraic hierarchy of MV-algebras with product. We connect divisible MV-algebras with $\mathbb{Q}$-vector lattices, we present the divisible hull as a categorical adjunction and we prove a duality between finitely presented algebras and rational polyhedra.

Keywords: DMV-algebras, MV-algebras, Rational Łukasiewicz logic, divisible hull, rational polyhedra.

Introduction

MV-algebras were introduced by C.C. Chang [**4**] as algebraic counterpart of $[0,1]$-valued Łukasiewicz logic. They are structures $(A, \oplus, ^*, 0)$ of type $(2,1,0)$ that satisfy appropriate axioms.

Since their first appearance, MV-algebras have been deeply investigated and their theory has received a major boost after D. Mundici [**21**] proved a categorical equivalence with lattice-ordered Abelian groups with a strong order unit.

In this work we will focus on the class of *divisible MV-algebras*, that correspond by the above mentioned categorical equivalence to divisible lattice-ordered groups with strong unit. Divisible MV-algebras are axiomatized and studied under the name of *DMV-algebras* by B. Gerla in [**13**].

Following similar results from the theory of lattice-ordered groups, any MV-algebra can be embedded in a divisble MV-algebra and any linearly ordered divisible MV-algebra is elementarily equivalent to the standard MV-algebra on $[0,1]$. These are key steps for proving the completeness theorem for Łukasiewicz logic [**5, 6**].

S. Lapenta: Department of Mathematics, University of Salerno, Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132 84084 Fisciano (SA), Italy. *email:* slapenta@unisa.it

I. Leuştean: Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest, Academiei nr.14, sector 1, C.P. 010014, Bucharest, Romania, *email:* ioana@fmi.unibuc.ro

Different expansions of MV-algebras have been defined, following the natural hierarchy of lattice-ordered structures, from groups to algebras [**8, 20, 11, 15, 14**]. In this paper we provide a slightly different, but equivalent, axiomatization for DMV-algebras which allows us to include them in the general framework of MV-algebras with product. As consequence, in Section 3, we display an adjunction between semisimple MV-algebras and semisimple DMV-algebras via the divisible hull functor, while in Section 2 we connect DMV-algebras and $\mathbb{Q}$-vector lattices [**17**] with strong unit.

The propositional calculus that has DMV-algebras as models was defined in [**13**], under the name of *Rational Łukasiewicz logic*. In Section 2 we provide an equivalent axiomatization as a subsystem of the logic $\mathcal{R}\text{Ł}$ of Riesz MV-algebras, which are MV-algebras closed for multiplication by scalars in $[0, 1]$.

A recent line of research in the theory of MV-algebras is the investigation of geometrical dualities, following the steps of Baker and Beynon's duality theorem between finitely presented vector spaces and polyhedra [**1, 2**]. In [**18**] one can find a duality theorem for finitely presented MV-algebras and rational polyhedra with $\mathbb{Z}$-maps, while in [**12**] finitely presented Riesz MV-algebras are proved to be dual to an appropriate category of polyhedra. In the final section of these notes we complete the framework of geometrical dualities by proving that finitely presented DMV-algebras are dual to rational polyhedra with $\mathbb{Q}$-maps.

The results presented in this paper are expectable or considered "folklore" and some proofs are similar with already known ones. However, our main goal is to present the divisible MV-algebras as MV-algebras endowed with a scalar multiplication with rational scalars from $[0, 1]$ and to place them inside the algebraic hierarchy of MV-algebras with product.

1. Preliminaries

An MV-algebra is a structure $(A, \oplus, ^*, 0)$ which satisfies the following properties for any $x, y \in A$:

(MV1) $(A, \oplus, 0)$ is an Abelian monoid,

(MV2) $(x^*)^* = x$,

(MV3) $(x^* \oplus y)^* \oplus y = (y^* \oplus x)^* \oplus x$,

(MV4) $0^* \oplus x = 0^*$.

We refer to [**6**] for all the unexplained notions related to MV-algebras. In any MV-algebra A we can define the following: $1 = 0^*$, $x \odot y = (x^* \oplus y^*)^*$, $x \vee y = (x \odot y^*) \oplus y$

and $x \wedge y = (x \oplus y^*) \odot y$ for any $x, y \in A$. Hence $(A, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a bounded distributive lattice such that $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \odot y^* = 0$.

A Riesz MV-algebra [**11**] is a structure $(R, \oplus, ^*, 0, \{r \mid r \in [0,1]\})$ such that $(R, \oplus, ^*, 0)$ is an MV-algebra and $\{r \mid r \in [0,1]\}$ is a family of unary operators such that the following properties hold for any $x, y \in A$ and $r, q \in [0,1]$:

(RMV1) $r(x \odot y^*) = (rx) \odot (ry)^*$,

(RMV2) $(r \odot q^*) \cdot x = (rx) \odot (qx)^*$,

(RMV3) $r(qx) = (rq)x$,

(RMV4) $1x = x$.

A PMV-algebra [**8, 20**] is a structure $(P, \oplus, \ ^*, \cdot, 0)$ such that $(P, \oplus, \ ^*, 0)$ is an MV-algebra, the operation $\cdot : P \times P \to P$ is associative and commutative, and the following identities hold for any $x, y, z \in P$:

(PMV1) $z \cdot (x \odot y^*) = (z \cdot x) \odot (z \cdot y)^*$,

(PMV2) $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$,

(PMV3) $x \cdot 1 = x$.

We remark that for this paper we are assuming any PMV-algebra to be commutative and unital, while the definition from [**8**] is more general.

A DMV-algebra is an MV-algebra A endowed with operators $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that satisfy the following, for any $x \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

(DMV1) $n\delta_n x = x$;

(DMV2) $\delta_n x \odot (n-1)\delta_n x = 0$.

A *divisible* MV-algebra is the MV-algebra reduct of a DMV-algebra.

Remark 1.1. Note that is possible to provide an equivalent definition for divisible MV-algebras. In particular, an MV-algebra A is divisible if for any $x \in A$ and for any $n \geq 0$ there exists a $y \in A$ such that $(n-1)y \odot y = 0$ and $x = ny$. Any such algebra is indeed the reduct of a DMV-algebra, where $y = \delta_n(x)$.

Finally, Riesz MV-algebras are the particular case of the general construction of an MV-module over a PMV-algebra [**9**]. In order to define this notion, we recall first the notion of *partial sum* in an MV-algebra. We say that $x + y$ is defined if and only if $x \odot y = 0$, and in this case $x + y = x \oplus y$. Then, if P is a PMV-algebra and A is a MV-algebra, A is an MV-module over P (or P-MV-module) if there is an external operation $\varphi : P \times A \to A$, $\varphi(\alpha, x) = \alpha x$ such that, for any $x, y \in A$ and any $\alpha, \beta \in P$:

(MVM1) if $x + y$ is defined in A then $\alpha x + \alpha y$ is also defined and $\alpha(x + y) = \alpha x + \alpha y$,

(MVM2) if $\alpha + \beta$ is defined in P then $\alpha x + \beta x$ is defined in A and $(\alpha + \beta)x = \alpha x + \beta x$,

(MVM3) $(\alpha \cdot \beta)x = \alpha(\beta x)$,

(MVM4) $1_P x = x$ for any $x \in A$.

We remark that the definition of a MV-module has an equivalent equational form, hence the class of P-MV-modules forms a variety.

The standard model of an MV-algebra, PMV-algebra and Riesz MV-algebra is the unit interval $[0, 1]$ endowed with the following operations: if $x, y, r \in [0, 1]$ we define $x \oplus y = \min\{x + y, 1\}$, $x^* = 1 - x$ and $x \cdot y = xy$ and rx equal with the product of real numbers. Then $[0, 1]$ naturally becomes an MV-algebra, a Riesz MV-algebra and a PMV-algebra, which generates the corresponding varieties in the first two cases. We remark that this is not longer true in the case of PMV-algebras. The standard model for a DMV-algebra is $[0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$, where $\delta_n(x) = \frac{x}{n}$ and it generates the variety of DMV-algebras.

A major boost in the theory of MV-algebras has been their categorical equivalence with Abelian lattice-ordered groups with a strong unit. In the following we will provide some details on the aforementioned equivalence, and we urge the interested reader to consult [**6, 10**] for an in-depth treatment.

An *ℓu-group* is a pair (G, u), where G is an Abelian lattice-ordered group [**3**] and u is a strong unit. If (G, u) is an ℓu-group, then $[0, u]_G = ([0, u], \oplus, ^*, 0)$ is an MV-algebra, where $[0, u] = \{x \in G \mid 0 \leq x \leq u\}$ and $x \oplus y = u \wedge (x + y)$, $x^* = u - x$ for any $x \in [0, u]$.

If **MV** is the category of MV-algebras with MV-algebra homomorphisms and **uAG** is the category of ℓu-groups equipped with lattice-ordered group homomorphisms that preserve the strong unit, then one defines a functor $\Gamma : \mathbf{uAG} \to \mathbf{MV}$ by $\Gamma(G, u) = [0, u]_G$ and $\Gamma(h) = h|_{[0,u_1]_{G_1}}$, where (G, u) is an ℓu-group and $h : G_1 \to G_2$ is a morphism in **uAG** between (G_1, u_1) and (G_2, u_2). In [**21**], Mundici proved that the functor Γ establishes a categorical equivalence between **uAG** and **MV**.

All mentioned structures have an MV-algebra reduct. Hence, we can define forgetful functors from the categories **PMV** of PMV-algebras, **DMV** of DMV-algebras and **RMV** of Riesz MV-algebras to **MV**. The categorical equivalence between MV-algebras and ℓu-groups can be generalized for each of this structures

to an equivalence with an appropriate class of unital lattice-ordered structures having a lattice-ordered group reduct with a strong unit [**8, 11**]. In particular, in [**13**], DMV algebras are proved to be equivalent with divisible ℓu-groups.

In [**19, 11, 13**] the free MV-algebra, Riesz MV-algebra and DMV-algebra are defined as algebras of $[0,1]$-valued functions. We will denote by MV_X, RMV_X and DMV_X the free algebra generated by X. If $|X| = n$, the free algebras will be denoted by MV_n, RMV_n and DMV_n and they can be represented in terms of piecewise linear functions. In particular, DMV_n is the algebra of functions in n-variables that are piecewise linear with rational coefficients.

An MV-algebra (DMV-algebra) is *finitely generated* if it is generated by a finite set of elements, while it is *finitely presented* if it is the quotient of a free finitely generated MV-algebra (DMV-algebra) by a finitely generated ideal. It is easy to see that for MV-algebras and DMV-algebras the notions of finitely generated ideal and principal ideal coincide. A *projective* MV-algebra (DMV-algebra) is an algebra A such that for any B and C MV-algebras (DMV-algebras) and any pair of homomorphisms $f : B \to C$, $g : A \to C$, with f surjective, there exists $h : A \to B$ such that $g = f \circ h$. It can be easily seen that free algebras are projective, see for example [**23**, Example 17.2] for the case of MV-algebras.

Finally, an MV-algebra is semisimple if the intersection of its maximal ideals is $\{0\}$. Equivalently, if it can be embedded in an algebra $C(X)$ of continuous functions $f : X \to [0,1]$, with X compact Hausdorff space. Since a DMV-algebra has the same ideals of its MV-algebra reduct, a DMV-algebra is semisimple iff so is its MV-algebra reduct.

2. DMV-algebras, $\mathbb{Q}$-vector lattices and their logic

We start this section giving an equivalent definition for a DMV-algebra. Upon setting $[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q} = [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we have the following preliminary result.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $(D, \oplus, ^*, 0, \{r \mid r \in [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}\})$ be a structure such that $(D, \oplus, ^*, 0)$ is an MV-algebra and $\{r \mid r \in [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}\}$ is a family of unary operators. Then D is an MV-module over $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if the following properties hold for any $x, y \in A$ and $r, q \in [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$:*

(DMV1') $r(x \odot y^*) = (rx) \odot (ry)^*$,

(DMV2') $(r \odot q^*) \cdot x = (rx) \odot (qx)^*$,

(DMV3') $r(qx) = (rq)x$,

(DMV4') $1x = x$.

PROOF. In [**11**, Lemma 7 and Theorem 2] is proved that (RMV2) is equivalent with (MVM2) and (RMV1) is equivalent with (MVM1), when $r, q \in [0,1]$. In particular this holds for $r, q \in [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$, that is, being (DMV1') and (DMV2') special cases of (RMV1) and (RMV2), the claim is settled. One can easily apply the techniques from [**11**, Section 3] for a detailed proof. □

COROLLARY 2.1. *Axioms (DMV1')-(DMV4') are equivalent with (DMV1)-(DMV2). That is, (DMV1')-(DMV4') is an equivalent definition for DMV-algebras.*

PROOF. In [**9**, Proposition 3.10] is proved that D is a divisible MV-algebra if and only if it is a MV-module over $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Hence, the conclusion follows from Remark 1.1 and Proposition 2.1. □

REMARK 2.1. Following [**11**, Proposition 2], the previous characterization entails that any DMV-algebra must contain the interval $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We recall that an MV-algebra is simple iff it is a sub-algebra of $[0,1]$. Hence, any simple DMV-algebra is a dense subalgebra of $[0,1]$.

We now consider the following categories:

(i) **DMV**, the category whose objects are DMV-algebras with homomorphism of DMV-algebras;

(ii) **uQVL**, the category whose objects are $\mathbb{Q}$-vector lattice with a strong unit, i.e. lattice-ordered linear spaces over $\mathbb{Q}$, and whose maps are homomorphisms that preserve strong units, lattice order and scalar product.

THEOREM 2.1. *The categorical equivalence defined by* Γ *extends to* **DMV** *and* **uQVL**. *That is, the functor* $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbf{DMV} \to \mathbf{uQVL}$ *defined by* $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}(V,u) = [0,u]_V$, $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}(f) = f\mid_{[0,u]_V}$, *for any arrow* $f : (V,u) \to (W,w)$ *in* **uQVL**, *establishes a categorical equivalence.*

PROOF. It is straightforward from Corollary 2.1 and [**9**, Theorem 4.6], where one can find the proof of a categorical equivalence between MV-modules over a PMV-algebra and lattice-ordered modules with a strong unit over a lattice-ordered ring with a strong unit. □

Finally, we recall:

THEOREM 2.2. [**13**, Theorem 4.5] *The free DMV-algebra over n generators, which is the algebra of term-functions from* $[0,1]_Q^n \to [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$, *is isomorphic with the algebra of functions which are piecewise linear with rational coefficients.*

REMARK 2.2. The analogous of Theorem 2.2 for $\mathbb{Q}$-vector lattices is proved in [**17**].

We end this section by describing the logic of DMV-algebras in terms of MV-modules over $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The *Rational Łukasiewicz logic* has been defined in [**13**] as the logic that has DMV-algebras as models. We now provide a different logical system for DMV-algebras, denoted by $\mathcal{Q}$Ł, and prove its equivalence with the one from [**13**].

We define the logic $\mathcal{Q}$Ł as the one obtained by from Łukasiewicz logic by adding a connective ∇_r, for any $r \in [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Axioms are the following.

(L1) $\varphi \to (\psi \to \varphi)$
(L2) $(\varphi \to \psi) \to ((\psi \to \chi) \to (\varphi \to \chi))$
(L3) $(\varphi \vee \psi) \to (\psi \vee \varphi)$
(L4) $(\neg\psi \to \neg\varphi) \to (\varphi \to \psi)$
(Q1) $\nabla_r(\varphi \to \psi) \leftrightarrow (\nabla_r\varphi \to \nabla_r\psi)$
(Q2) $\nabla_{(r \odot q^*)}\varphi \leftrightarrow (\nabla_q\varphi \to \nabla_r\varphi)$
(Q3) $\nabla_r(\nabla_q\varphi) \leftrightarrow \nabla_{r \cdot q}\varphi$
(Q4) $\nabla_1\varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi$.

The only deduction rule is *Modus Ponens*. Moreover, we define the connective Δ_r as $\neg\nabla_r\neg$, for any $r \in [0,1]$. We recall that Axioms (L1)-(L4) are the axioms of Łukasiewicz logic.

We remark that for $r \in [0,1]$, the same axioms define the logical systems $\mathcal{R}$Ł of Riesz MV-algebras [**11**].

As usual, we can define the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra of the logic. It is the quotient of the set of formulas $Form_{\mathcal{Q}}$ of the logic $\mathcal{Q}$Ł by the equivalence relation defined as follows:

$$\varphi \equiv \psi \text{ if and only if } \vdash \varphi \to \psi \text{ and } \vdash \psi \to \varphi$$

Let $Thm_{\mathcal{Q}}$ be the set of theorems of $\mathcal{Q}$Ł. We define the following operations on $Form_{\mathcal{Q}}/_{\equiv}$:

$$1 = [Thm_{\mathcal{Q}}];\ [\varphi]^* = [\neg\varphi];\ [\varphi] \oplus [\psi] = [\neg\varphi \to \psi];$$
$$r[\varphi] = [\Delta_r\varphi] \text{ for } r \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

THEOREM 2.3. *The structure* $DMVL = (Form_{\mathcal{Q}}/\equiv, \oplus, *, r | r \in [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}})$ *is a DMV-algebra.*

PROOF. It is a matter of computation to show that (Q1)-(Q4) are the logical expressions of the dual equations of (DMV1')-(DMV4'). A similar proof can be found in [**11**, Proposition 5]. □

By the previous theorem, an appropriate semantics for the logic has DMV-algebras as models. If A is a DMV-algebra, an evaluation is a function $e : Form_{\mathcal{Q}} \to A$ such that:

(e1) $e(\varphi \to \psi) = e(\varphi)^* \oplus e(\psi)$;

(e2) $e(\neg\varphi) = e(\varphi)^*$;

(e3) $e(\nabla_r\varphi) = (re(\varphi)^*)^*$;

where $\oplus$, $*$ are the MV-algebra operations in A and rx the scalar product for any $r \in [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and any $x \in A$.

Let φ be a formula and A a DMV-algebra. We say that φ is a *A-tautology* if $e(\varphi) = 1$ for any evaluation $e : Form_{\mathcal{Q}} \to A$. We will denote a tautology by $\models_A \varphi$.

THEOREM 2.4 (Completeness). *Let φ be a formula in $Form_{\mathcal{Q}}$, TFAE:*

(1) $\vdash \varphi$;

(2) $\models_A \varphi$ for any DMV-algebra A;

(3) $\models_{[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}} \varphi$;

(4) $[\varphi] = 1$ in $DMVL$.

PROOF. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) It is trivially seen that *Modus Ponens* leads tautologies in tautologies. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) It is obvious. (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) It follows from the fact that $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$ generates the variety of DMV-algebras [**13**, Theorem 3.15]. (4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) It follow directly by the definition of $1 = [Thm_{\mathcal{Q}}]$. □

In [**13**], the *Rational Łukasiewicz logic* is defined as the logic that satisfies the natural translation of (DMV1)-(DMV2). That is, the Rational Łukasiewicz logic is the expansion of Łukasiewicz logic via a set of connectives $\{\delta_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the following axioms:

(L1),(L2), (L3), (L4)

(D1) $\overbrace{\delta_n\varphi \oplus \cdots \oplus \delta_n\varphi}^{n} \to \varphi$

(D2) $\varphi \to \overbrace{\delta_n\varphi \oplus \cdots \oplus \delta_n\varphi}^{n}$

(D3) $\neg\delta_n\varphi \oplus \neg(\overbrace{\delta_n\varphi \oplus \cdots \oplus \delta_n\varphi}^{n-1})$.

We recall that the Rational Łukasiewicz logic is complete w.r.t. $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$ [**13**, Theorem 4.3].

We now define a correspondence between $\mathcal{Q}$Ł and the Rational Łukasiewicz logic. Let $Form_{\mathcal{Q}}$ be the set of formulas in the former logic, and let $Form_{RatLuk}$ be the set of formulas in the latter. To simplify our definition, we will use the connective Δ_r, defined as $\neg\nabla_r\neg$, as primary.

DEFINITION 2.1. (i) $\mathcal{I}_1 : Form_{\mathcal{Q}} \to Form_{RatLuk}$,
$\mathcal{I}_1(\delta_n\varphi) = \Delta_{\frac{1}{n}}\varphi$;

(ii) If $r = \frac{m}{n}$, $\mathcal{I}_2 : Form_{RatLuk} \to Form_{\mathcal{Q}}$,
$\mathcal{I}_2(\Delta_r\varphi) = \overbrace{\delta_n\varphi \oplus \cdots \oplus \delta_n\varphi}^{m}$

THEOREM 2.5. *The correspondences $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$ define two faithful translations of one logic into the other.*

PROOF. We need to prove that both translations send theorems to theorems. Being both logics complete with respect to $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$, it is just a matter of computation to prove that (Q1)-(Q4) are send to tautologies in the Rational Łukakasiewicz logic, and that (D1)-(D3) are send to tautologies in $\mathcal{Q}$Ł. □

3. DMV-algebras and the tensor product

In this section we display an adjunction between the categories of semisimple MV-algebras and semisimple DMV-algebras. In doing so, we provide a categorical framework for the construction of the divisible hull of a semisimple MV-algebra.

As in the previous section, we will denote by $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the interval $[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

We recall the definition of the semisimple MV-algebraic tensor product, as defined by D. Mundici [**22**]. Let A, B and C be MV-algebras. A bimorphism is a map $\beta : A \times B \to C$ such that for $* \in \{\vee, \wedge, +\}$, $\beta(x, y_1 * y_2) = \beta(x, y_1) * \beta(x, y_2)$, $\beta(x_1 * x_2, y) = \beta(x_1, y) * \beta(x_2, y)$. Then, the tensor product $A \otimes_{MV} B$ is an MV-algebra and $\beta_{A,B} : A \times B \to A \otimes_{MV} B$ is its universal bimorphism such that for any MV-algebra C and any bimorphism $\gamma : A \times B \to C$, there exists an unique morphisms $\overline{\gamma} : A \otimes_{MV} B \to C$ such that $\overline{\gamma} \circ \beta_{A,B} = \gamma$.

In [**22**] the author proves that there exists a semisimple MV-algebra A such that $A \otimes_{MV} A$ is not semisimple. Therefore he defines the semisimple tensor product of A and B, semisimple MV-algebras, by

$$A \otimes B = A \otimes_{MV} B \Big/ Rad(A \otimes_{MV} B).$$

Consequently, $a \otimes b$ is the class of $a \otimes_{MV} b$ for any $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. For the semisimple tensor product a universal property holds with respect to semisimple MV-algebras, namely the following:

for any semisimple MV-algebra C and for any bimorphism $\beta : A \times B \to C$, there is a unique homomorphism of MV-algebras $\omega : A \otimes B \to C$ such that $\omega \circ \beta_{A,B} = \beta$.

The following lemma ensures that a DMV-algebra has the same homomorphisms of its MV-algebra reduct.

LEMMA 3.1. *If A, B are DMV-algebras and $f : A \to B$ is an MV-algebra homomorphism between the underlying MV-algebra reducts, then f is a homomorphism of DMV-algebras.*

PROOF. We first remark that condition (ii) in the definition of a DMV-algebra implies that, for any n, we get $\overbrace{\delta_n x \oplus \cdots \oplus \delta_n x}^{n} = \overbrace{\delta_n x + \cdots + \delta_n x}^{n}$, i.e. the MV-sum $\oplus$ coincides with the partial sum $+$. Then, we have the following:

$$n\delta_n(f(x)) = f(x) = f(n\delta_n(x)) = nf(\delta_n x).$$

By the above remark, the claim follows from cancellation of $+$, see [**9**, Lemma 1.1(i)]. □

It is well known that any MV-algebra can be embedded in a divisible one (see, for example, [**10**, Corollary 5.3.1]). The following proposition, together with [**16**, Proposition 2.1], gives a different way to construct the embedding for semisimple MV-algebras.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If A is a semisimple MV-algebra, then $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A$ is a semisimple DMV-algebra.*

PROOF. [**16**, Theorem 3.1] and [**9**, Proposition 3.10] entail that $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A$ is a semisimple divisible MV-algebra. By Remark 1.1 and the fact that ideals in a DMV-algebras are MV-ideals, $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A$ is a semisimple DMV-algebra. □

Let $\mathcal{U}_\delta$ be the forgetful functor from the full subcategory $\mathbf{DMV_{ss}}$ of semisimple DMV-algebras to the full subcategory $\mathbf{MV_{ss}}$ of semisimple MV-algebras.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let B be a semisimple MV-algebra. For any semisimple DMV-algebra V and for any homomorphism of MV-algebras $f : B \to \mathcal{U}_\delta(V)$ there is a unique homomorphism of DMV-algebras $\widetilde{f} : [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes B \to V$ such that $\widetilde{f} \circ \iota_B = f$.*

PROOF. Define $\beta_f : [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}} \times B \to V$ by $\beta_f(\alpha, x) = \alpha f(x)$ for any $\alpha \in [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $x \in B$. Since $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is totally ordered and V is a DMV-algebra (hence, an MV-module over $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$, by Corollary 2.1), it is easily seen that β_f is a bimorphism. Indeed we have

i) $\beta_f(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, x) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)f(x) = \alpha_1 f(x) + \alpha_2 f(x) = \beta_f(\alpha_1, x) + \beta_f(\alpha_2, x)$;

ii) $\beta_f(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2, x) = (\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2)f(x)$. Since $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is totally ordered, without loss of generality, let $\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 = \alpha_1$. Then $(\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2)f(x) = \alpha_1 f(x) = \alpha_1 f(x) \wedge \alpha_2 f(x)$ by monotonicity of scalar multiplication. Being the latter equal to $\beta_f(\alpha_1, x) \wedge \beta_f(\alpha_2, x)$ we get the desired conclusion, which follows analogously for $\vee$.

iii) The proof that $\beta_f(\alpha, x_1 + x_2) = \beta_f(\alpha, x_1) + \beta_f(\alpha, x_2)$, $\beta_f(\alpha, x_1 \wedge x_2) = \beta_f(\alpha, x_1) \wedge \beta_f(\alpha, x_2)$ and $\beta_f(\alpha, x_1 \vee x_2) = \beta_f(\alpha, x_1) \vee \beta_f(\alpha, x_2)$ is similar to (i), via

[**9**, Definition 3.1 and Lemma 3.19].
We now use the universal property of the semisimple tensor product. We note that, by Lemma 3.1, any MV-homomorphism between DMV-algebras is an homomorphism of DMV-algebras. □

We are now ready to define a functor $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes} : \mathbf{MV_{ss}} \to \mathbf{DMV_{ss}}$ by

$\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(B) = [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes B$ for any semsimple MV-algebra B and

$\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(f) = \widetilde{f}$ for any homomorphism of MV-algebras $f : A \to B$, where $\widetilde{f} : [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A \to [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes B$ is the unique homomorphism of DMV-algebras such that $\widetilde{f} \circ \iota_A = \iota_B \circ f$, which exists by Proposition 3.2.

THEOREM 3.1. *Under the above hypothesis, $(\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}, \mathcal{U}_{\delta})$ is an adjoint pair.*

PROOF. The proof follows *mutati mutandis* from [**16**, Theorem 4.2]. □

REMARK 3.1. In a similar fashion, we can display an adjunction between $\mathbf{DMV_{ss}}$ and $\mathbf{RMV_{ss}}$, the full subcategory of semisimple Riesz MV-algebras. We consider the following functors:

(i) $\mathcal{T}_{\otimes}^{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbf{DMV_{ss}} \to \mathbf{RMV_{ss}}$ defined as $\mathcal{T}_{\otimes}^{\mathbb{Q}}(A) = A \otimes [0,1]$ and on maps by the analogous of Proposition 3.2;

(ii) $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbf{DMV_{ss}} \to \mathbf{DMV_{ss}}$ is the forgetful functors that consider only multiplication with rational numbers.

One can easily prove that this is again a pair of adjoint functors, via the results proved in [**16**] for Riesz MV-algebras.

In [**7**, Remark 2.4 and Lemma 2.2] the *divisible hull* A^d of a semisimple MV-algebra A is defined. If $A \subseteq C(X)$, for a suitable compact Hausdorff space, then $A^d = \{a \in C(X) \mid a = \frac{a_1}{n} + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A\}$. Let us denote by ι_d the embedding of A in its divisible hull A^d.

LEMMA 3.2. *For any MV-algebra A, the following hold.*

(i) $A^d =< \iota_d(A) >_{DMV}$,

(ii) The embedding $\iota_d : A \to A^d$ is essential,

(iii) For any DMV-algebra D and any $f : A \to \mathcal{U}_{\delta}(D)$ there exists a unique $f_d : A^d \to D$ such that $f_d \circ \iota_d = f$. If f is an embedding, f_d is an embedding.

PROOF. (i) Upon identifying $\iota_d(A)$ with A, any $a \in A^d$ can be written in $< A >_{DMV}$ as $\delta_n(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \delta_n(a_n)$ for an appropriate $n \geq 1$. On the other side, an element in $< A >_{DMV}$ is inductively construct from elements of A via δ_n. If $a = \delta_n(b)$, then $a = \frac{b}{n} + \frac{0}{n} \cdots + \frac{0}{n}$ and it belongs to A^d by definition.

(ii) and (iii) are proved in [**7**, Lemma 2.2]. □

PROPOSITION 3.3. *If A is a semisimple MV-algebra and D is a semisimple DMV-algebra such that $A \subseteq D$ and $D = \langle A \rangle_{DMV}$, then $D \simeq A^d$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 3.2 there exists $e : A^d \to D$ such that $e(\iota_d(a)) = a$ for any $a \in A$. Then we have

$$e(A^d) = e(< \iota_d(A) >_{DMV}) =< e(\iota_d(A)) >_{DMV} =$$
$$=< A >_{DMV} = D,$$

and e is an isomorphism. □

COROLLARY 3.1. *If A is a DMV-algebra, then $A \simeq A^d$.*

We now recall the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.2. [**14**, Theorem 4.2.4] *Let X be a set, $< X >_{MV}$ the MV-algebra generated by X and P a totally ordered PMV-algebra. The following properties hold:*

(a) $P \otimes < X >_{MV}$ is generated as a P-MV-module by $\{1 \otimes x : x \in X\}$,

(b) if M is a P-MV-module then for any function $f : X \to M$ there is a unique homomorphism of P-MV-modules $\widetilde{f} : P \otimes MV_X \to M$ such that

$$\widetilde{f}(1 \otimes x) = f(x) \text{ for any } x \in X.$$

Being $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$ a totally ordered PMV-algebra, a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2 is the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.2. *For any semisimple MV-algebra A,*
$[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A$ is the divisible hull of A.

PROOF. It follows from Proposition 3.3, Theorem 3.2 and the remark that $\{1 \otimes x : x \in X\} = \iota_A(A)$, where $\iota_A : A \to [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes A$, defined by $a \mapsto 1 \otimes a$, is the embedding in the MV-algebraic tensor product. □

Finally, we have the following.

THEOREM 3.3. *For any nonempty set X, $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes MV_X \simeq (MV_X)^d \simeq DMV_X$*

PROOF. Assume D is a semisimple DMV-algebra and $f : X \to D$ is a function. Hence there is a unique homomorphism of MV-algebras $\overline{f} : MV_X \to \mathcal{U}_\delta(D)$ which extends f. By Proposition 3.2, there exists a homomorphism of DMV-algebras $\widetilde{f} : [0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes MV_X \to D$ such that $\widetilde{f} \circ \iota_{MV_X} = \overline{f}$, so $\widetilde{f}(1 \otimes x) = f(x)$ for any $x \in X$. The uniqueness of $\widetilde{f}$ is a consequence of the uniqueness of $\overline{f}$. Since ι_{MV_X} is an embedding we have $X \simeq \{1 \otimes x \mid x \in X\}$ and $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes MV_X$ is the free object in $\mathbf{DMV_{ss}}$. Being the free DMV-algebra DMV_X an object in $\mathbf{DMV_{ss}}$, $[0,1]_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes MV_X \simeq DMV_X$. □

By categorical equivalence, the adjunctions $(\mathcal{T}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\otimes},\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $(\mathcal{D}_{\otimes},\mathcal{U}_{\delta})$ can be naturally transferred to lattice-ordered structures. We denote by $\mathbf{auG_a}$ the category of archimedean ℓu-groups; $\mathbf{uQVL_a}$ the category of archimedean $\mathbb{Q}$-vector lattices with a strong unit; $\mathbf{uRS_a}$ the category of archimedean Riesz Spaces with strong unit.

In [**16**], the adjunction $(\mathcal{T}_{\otimes},\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{R}})$ between semisimple MV-algebras and semisimple Riesz MV-algebras with a strong unit is proved, and it is extended to $(\mathcal{T}_{\otimes a},\mathcal{U}_{\ell\mathbb{R}})$, the latter being an adjunction between $\mathbf{auG_a}$ and $\mathbf{uRS_a}$.

With the same ideas, applying the inverse of Γ and $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (as defined in [**13**] for MV-algebras), $(\mathcal{D}_{\otimes},\mathcal{U}_{\delta})$ extends to $(\mathcal{D}_{\otimes a},\mathcal{U}_{\ell\delta})$. This is an adjunction between $\mathbf{auG_a}$ and $\mathbf{uQVL_a}$.

Applying the converses of the functors $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$, $(\mathcal{T}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\otimes},\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ extends to $(\mathcal{T}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\otimes a},\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Q}a})$. This is an adjunction between $\mathbf{uQVL_a}$ and $\mathbf{uRS_a}$.

The following diagram summarizes our results.



4. DMV-algebras and rational polyhedra

We start this section recalling all needed notions of polyhedral geometry.

A m-simplex in $[0,1]^n$ is the convex hull C of $m+1$ affinely independent points $\{v_o,\dots,v_m\}$ in the euclidean space $[0,1]^n$; the points v_i are called vertexes and C is rational if any vertex has rational coordinates. A (*rational*) *polyhedron* is the union of finitely many (rational) simplexes; any simplex is obtainable as a finite union of a finite intersection of closed half-spaces.

If $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$ is a polyhedron, a $\mathbb{Z}$-map $z : P \to [0,1]^m$ is a continuous map $z = (z_1,\dots z_m)$ where any $z_i : [0,1]^n \to [0,1]$ is a piecewise linear function with integer coefficient.

Rational polyhedra with $\mathbb{Z}$-maps form a category which is dually equivalent (see [**18**]) to the full subcategory of finitely presented MV-algebras. Moreover,

finitely presented projective MV-algebras are in duality with retracts – by $\mathbb{Z}$-maps – of finite-dimensional unit cubes. This duality follows from the ideas of Baker and Beynon [**1, 2**]. We now prove the analogous duality theorem. Most of the results of this section can be proved exacly as in [**6, 12, 18**], relying on subsequently remark.

REMARK 4.1. Let I be a principal ideal in DMV_n, generated by $a \in DMV_n \setminus MV_n$. By Theorem 3.3 there exists a natural number k and $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in MV_n$ such that $a = \frac{1}{k}a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{1}{k}a_k$. Let $b = a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_k$. Since $\frac{1}{k}a_i \leq a_i$, we have $a \leq b$ therefore the ideal generated by a is included in the ideal generated by b, in symbols $(a] \subseteq (b]$. For the other inclusion, $\delta_k(a_i) = \frac{1}{k}a_i \leq a$ for any i, therefore $a_i = k\delta_k(a_i) \in (a]$. Hence $b \in (a]$, and $(a] = (b]$.

This means that we can replace the generator of a principal ideal in DMV_n by an element of MV_n.

In analogy with the case of MV-algebras and Riesz MV-algebras, we have the following definitions.

(i) Given subset $S \subseteq DMV_n$, $V(S) = \{\mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^n \mid f(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ for any } f \in S\}$.

(ii) Given a subset $X \subseteq [0,1]^n$, $I(X) = \{f \in DMV_n \mid f(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ for any } \mathbf{x} \in X\}$.

Since functions in DMV_n are continuous, $f^{-1}(0)$ is a closed set and being $V(S) = \bigcap_{f \in S} f^{-1}(0)$, any $V(S)$ is closed in $[0,1]^n$.

We recall that a subalgebra A of $C([0,1]^n)$ is called *separating* if for any two point $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in [0,1]^n$ there exists $f \in A$ such that $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and $f(\mathbf{y}) > 0$.

LEMMA 4.1. *DMV_n is a separating algebra of $C([0,1]^n)$.*

PROOF. In [**6**, Lemma 3.4.6] is proved that MV_n is separating, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The claim follows from the remark that $MV_n \subseteq DMV_n$. □

The following proposition is a direct consequence of the analogous results in [**6**, Chapter 3], Lemma 4.1 and the fact that homomorphisms and ideals of a DMV-algebra are the same of its MV-algebra reduct.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *The following properties hold:*

(i)For each closed subset C of $[0,1]^n$, $C = V(I(C))$.

(ii) Let C be a closed subset of $[0,1]^n$. For any $\mathbf{x} \in C$ the map $\mathbf{x} \to I(\mathbf{x})$ is an isomorphism between C and $Max(DMV_n \mid_C)$

(iii) Let J be a proper ideal. Then $I(V(J))$ is the intersection of the maximal ideals containing J.

(iv) An ideal J in DMV_n is an intersection of maximal ideals if and only if $J = I(V(J))$.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $f, g \in DMV_n$. Then, $g \in (f]$ if and only if $V(f) \subseteq V(g)$*

PROOF. One direction is trivial. For the other direction, let $V(f) \subseteq V(g)$. By Remark 4.1 there exists g^* and f^* in MV_n such that $(f] = (f^*]$ and $(g] = (g^*]$. Moreover, by construction of f^*, $f(x) = 0$ iff $f^*(x) = 0$, that is $V(f) = V(f^*)$ and $V(g) = V(g^*)$, hence $V(f^*) \subseteq V(g^*)$. Therefore, by [**6**, Lemma 3.4.8], g^* belongs to $(f^*]_{MV}$, the ideal generated by f^* in MV_n and trivially, it belongs to the ideal it generates in DMV_n. Hence, $g \in (g^*] \subseteq (f^*] = (f]$. □

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Any principal ideal in DMV_n is intersection of maximal ideals.*

PROOF. Let $J = (f]_{DMV}$ be a principal ideal in DMV_n. We first remark that $V(J) = V(f)$. Since the inclusion $J \subseteq I(V(J))$ always holds, let us prove the converse inclusion.
Let $g \in I(V(J))$. If $x \in V(J)$, we have $g(x) = 0$. Thus $x \in V(g)$ and $V(J) = V(f) \subseteq V(g)$. By Lemma 4.2, $g \in J$ and the conclusion follows from Proposition 4.1(iv). □

LEMMA 4.3. *A finitely generated DMV-algebra $D = {}^{DMV_n}/_J$ is semisimple if and only if J is intersection of maximal ideals of DMV_n.*

PROOF. We consider the projection

$$\pi_D : DMV_n \to {}^{DMV_n}/_J.$$

Let us assume that D is semisimple. An ideal is maximal in D if it is of the form ${}^{M}/_J$, with M maximal ideal of DMV_n that contains J - the proof can be easily deduced from [**6**, Proposition 1.2.10] - since J is semisimple, $\bigcap_{{}^{M}/_J \in Max(D)} {}^{M}/_J = \{0\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \pi_D^{-1}(0) = \pi_D^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{{}^{M}/_J \in Max(D)} {}^{M}/_J\right) = \\ &= \bigcap_{M \in Max(DMV_n), J \subseteq M} \pi_D^{-1}({}^{M}/_J) = \\ &= \bigcap_{M \in Max(DMV_n), J \subseteq M} M. \end{aligned}$$

On the other direction, we can assume that J is the intersection of all maximal ideals that contain it. Denoted by $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ the collection of such ideals, we get $Max\left({}^{DMV_n}/_J\right) = \{\pi_J(M_i)\}_{i \in I}$. Hence $\bigcap_i \pi_J(M_i) = \pi_J(J) = 0$ and D is semisimple. □

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Any finitely presented DMV-algebra is semisimple.*

PROOF. It follows from Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.3. □

PROPOSITION 4.4. *For any closed subset C of $[0,1]^n$, $DMV_n\,|_C$ is isomorphic with $DMV_n \Big/ I(C)$.*

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 4.1, Proposition 4.1 and [**6**, Proposition 3.4.5]. □

The following theorem is crucial in the proof of duality.

THEOREM 4.1. *The zerosets of elements of DMV_n are exactly rational polyhedra.*

PROOF. Let P be a rational polyhedron. By [**18**, Lemma 3.2] there exists $f \in MV_n \subseteq DMV_n$ such that $P = f^{-1}(0)$.
On the other direction, let f be an element of DMV_n. By Remark 4.1 there exists $g \in MV_n$ such that $(f]_{DMV} = (g]_{DMV}$. By Lemma 4.2 it follows that $f^{-1}(0) = V(f) = V(g) = g^{-1}(0)$. This is a rational polyhedron by [**18**, Lemma 3.2]. □

PROPOSITION 4.5. *Let J be a principal ideal in DMV_n. Then there exists a rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$ such that $DMV_n\,/_J \simeq DMV_n\,|_P$, the set of piecewise linear functions with rational coefficients restricted to P.*

PROOF. Let f be the generator of J. By Theorem 4.1, we set $P = V(f) = V(J)$. By Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.1, $I(P) = I(V(J)) = J$ and the claim is settled by Proposition 4.4. □

In the sequel, let $\mathbf{DMV_{fp}}$ be the full subcategory of finitely presented DMV-algebras and homomorphisms of DMV-algebras, and let $\mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}]}$ be the category of rational polyhedra laying in some appropriate unit cube with unital $\mathbb{Q}$-maps, that is maps $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m) : P \subseteq [0,1]^n \to Q \subseteq [0,1]^m$ in which the components λ_i are elements of the free DMV-algebra DMV_n, i.e. continuous piecewise linear functions from $[0,1]^n$ to $[0,1]$ with rational coefficients. More precisely, any λ is the restriction to P and the co-restriction to Q of the map $\lambda : [0,1]^n \to [0,1]^m$.

It is straightforward that $\mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}]}$ is a category, since the image of a rational polyhedron via a piecewise linear function with rational coefficient is again a rational polyhedron. Moreover, we have the following.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $f : [0,1]^n \to [0,1]$ be a piecewise linear function and let $\{f_1, \ldots f_k\}$ its components, with $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = a_{i_1}x_1 + \ldots a_{i_n}x_n$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $\mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^n$.*

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) : [0,1]^m \to [0,1]^n$ *be a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-map and for each* λ_l*, let* $\{g_{l_1}, \dots g_{l_{t_l}}\}$ *the set of its components, where* $g_{l_j}(\mathbf{x}) = b_{j_1}x_1 + \cdots + b_{j_m}x_m$*,* $\mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^m$*.*

Then $f \circ \lambda$ *is a piecewise linear function. Moreover, the composition of unital* $\mathbb{Q}$*-maps is a unital* $\mathbb{Q}$*-map.*

Proof. For any $\mathbf{x} \in [0,1]^m$, there exist $f_i, g_{p_{s_p}}$ for $p = 1, \dots, n$ such that $(f \circ \lambda)(x) = f_i(g_{1_{s_1}}(\mathbf{x}), \dots, g_{n_{s_n}}(\mathbf{x}))$ for an appropriate choice of the components. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & f_i(g_{1_{s_1}}(\mathbf{x}), \dots, g_{n_{s_n}}(\mathbf{x})) = \\ = \; & a_{i_1} g_{1_{s_1}}(\mathbf{x}) + \cdots + a_{i_n} g_{n_{s_n}}(\mathbf{x}) = \\ = \; & a_{i_1}(\sum_{h=1}^{m} b_{s_{1_h}} x_h) + \cdots + a_{i_n}((\sum_{h=1}^{m} b_{s_{n_h}} x_h)). \end{aligned}$$

Re-arranging each term, we obtain an affine function with rational coefficients.

Finally, if $\lambda : P \subseteq [0,1]^n \to Q \subseteq [0,1]^m$ and $\sigma : Q \subseteq [0,1]^m \to R \subseteq [0,1]^s$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sigma \circ \lambda)(\mathbf{x}) = (\sigma(\lambda_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \lambda_m(\mathbf{x}))) = \\ = \; & (\sigma_1(\lambda_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \lambda_m(\mathbf{x})), \dots, \sigma_s(\lambda_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \lambda_m(\mathbf{x}))) = \\ = \; & ((\sigma_1 \circ \lambda)(\mathbf{x}), \dots (\sigma_s \circ \lambda)(\mathbf{x})). \end{aligned}$$

By the previous step, $(\sigma_i \circ \lambda)$ is a piecewise linear function with rational coefficients and $(\sigma \circ \lambda)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-map. □

We define a functor $\mathcal{D} : \mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}]} \to \mathbf{DMV_{fp}}$ by

$\mathcal{D}(P) = DMV_n \mid_P$, for any polyhedron $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$;
$\mathcal{D}(\lambda) : \mathcal{D}(Q) \to \mathcal{D}(P)$ defined by $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)(f) = f \circ \lambda$, for any $\lambda : P \to Q$, with $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$ and $Q \subseteq [0,1]^m$.

It is easily seen (cfr [**18**, Lemma 3.3]) that $\mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ is an homomorphism of MV-algebras and by Lemma 3.1, it is an homomorphism of DMV-algebras. Trivially, $\mathcal{D}$ is a functor.

Theorem 4.2. *The functor* $\mathcal{D}$ *establishes a categorical equivalence between the category* $\mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}]}$ *and the opposite of the category* $\mathbf{DMV_{fp}}$*.*

Proof. The proof follows *mutati mutandis* from [**18**, Theorem 3.4]. We include all details for sake of completeness.

We need to prove that $\mathcal{D}$ is full, faithful and essentially surjective. Proposition 4.5 entails that $\mathcal{D}$ is essentially surjective.

To show that $\mathcal{D}$ is faithful, let $\lambda, \mu : P \subseteq [0,1]^n \to Q \subseteq [0,1]^m$ such that $\lambda \neq \mu$. Therefore there exists $p \in P$ such that $(\lambda_1(p), \dots, \lambda_m(p)) \neq (\mu_1(p), \dots, \mu_m(p))$. Without loss of generality, let $\lambda_1(p) \neq \mu_1(p)$. Then we have

$\mathcal{D}(\lambda)(\pi_1 \mid_P)(p) = \lambda_1(p) \neq \mu_1(p) = \mathcal{D}(\mu)(\pi_1 \mid_P)(p)$,

hence $\mathcal{D}(\lambda) \neq \mathcal{R}(\mu)$.

In order to prove that $\mathcal{D}$ is full, we first remark that DMV_n is generated by the set of coordinate projections $\{\pi_1, \dots \pi_n\}$.

Since $DMV_m \to DMV_m \Big/ I(Q)$ is a surjective homomorphism and $DMV_m \Big/ I(Q) \simeq DMV_m \mid_Q$, it is straightforward that $\mathcal{D}(Q) = DMV_m \mid_Q$ is generated by $\{\pi_1 \mid_Q, \dots, \pi_m \mid_Q\}$.

Consider now $h : \mathcal{D}(Q) \to \mathcal{D}(P)$, with $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$, $Q \subseteq [0,1]^m$. We set

$\lambda_i = h(\pi_i \mid_Q) \in \mathcal{D}(P)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$;

then, we define λ as follows

$\lambda(p) = (\lambda_1(p), \dots \lambda_m(p)) \subseteq [0,1]^m$, for any $p \in P$.

Trivially λ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-map, since any $\lambda_i \in DMV_n \mid_P$, that is λ_i is actually the restriction to P of an element of DMV_n. We need to show that $\lambda(P) \subseteq Q$.

By Theorem 4.1 there exists $f \in DMV_m$ such that $Q = V(f)$. Therefore $\lambda(P) \subseteq Q$ if $f(\lambda(P)) = 0$.

Since $f \in DMV_m$, and DMV_m is freely generated by $\{\pi_1, \dots \pi_m\}$, there exists a DMV-term $\sigma(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_m)$ such that $f = \sigma$. Moreover, $f \mid_Q = 0$ implies $h(f \mid_Q) = 0$. Hence

$$0 = h(f \mid_Q) = \sigma(h(\pi_1 \mid_Q), \dots, h(\pi_m \mid_Q)) = \sigma(\lambda_1, \dots \lambda_m),$$

then $f(\lambda(p)) = 0$ for any $p \in P$ and the claim is settled. □

The following lemma is [**18**, Corollary 3.6] specialized in our case. We omit the proof, since it is again very similar to the aforementioned result.

LEMMA 4.5. *For a DMV-algebra A, the following are equivalent.*

i) A is finitely presented and projective,

ii) A is finitely generated and projective,

iii) if $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$ is a polyhedron such that $A = \mathcal{D}(P)$, then P is a retract of $[0,1]^n$ by a unital $\mathbb{Q}$-map.

REMARK 4.2. Trivially, the subcategory of $\mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}]}$ whose maps are $\mathbb{Z}$-maps is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}]}$, which is dual to the full subcategory of finitely presented MV-algebras.

Our last step is to extend the duality in Theorem 4.2 to rational polyhedra laying in $\mathbb{R}^n$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In order to do so, let us denote by $\mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the category of rational polyhedra laying in some appropriate $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\mathbb{Q}$-maps, that is

maps $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m) : P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ in which the components $\lambda_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ are piecewise linear maps with rational coefficients. In analogy with the unital case, we denote the set of continuous piecewise linear functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by DG_n.

We then define a functor $\mathcal{P} : \mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}]} \to \mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ by

Objects: For any $P \subseteq [0,1]^n$, $\mathcal{P}(P) = P$;

Arrows: For any $\lambda : P \subseteq [0,1]^n \to Q \subseteq [0,1]^m$, we define $\mathcal{P}(\lambda) = \lambda$.

LEMMA 4.6. *$\mathcal{P}$ is a well defined functor.*

PROOF. We start by recalling that any piecewise linear function can be written as sups of infs of linear polynomials [**24**, Theorem 2.1]. In particular, such linear functions are its components. That is, any piecewise linear function with either rational or real coefficients defined on some $[0,1]^n$ can be extended to $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $DMV_n = DG^r_n = \{f \mid_{[0,1]^n} \mid f \in DG_n\}$. Hence, any $\lambda : P \subseteq [0,1]^n \to Q \subseteq [0,1]^m$ is indeed a morphism in $\mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{R}}$, since we can find extensions $\overline{\lambda_i} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ of any λ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, such that σ is the restriction to P and the co-restriction to Q of $(\overline{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \overline{\lambda_m})$.

Finally, it is an easy exercise to show that $\mathcal{P}$ is indeed a functor. □

THEOREM 4.3. *The functor $\mathcal{P}$ establishes a categorical equivalence between $\mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}]}$ and $\mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

PROOF. It is enough to prove that $\mathcal{P}$ is full, faithful and essentially surjective.

By [**18**, Claim 3.5], for any rational polyhedron $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists $d \geq 0$, a polyhedron $Q \subseteq [0,1]^d$ and a $\mathbb{Z}$-homeomorphism $\lambda : P \to Q$, hence $P \simeq Q = \mathcal{P}(Q)$ and the functor is essentially surjective.

Fullness and faithfulness are straightforward by definition of $\mathcal{P}$, and the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 4.6. □

COROLLARY 4.1. $\mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ *and* $\mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ *are dual categories.*

PROOF. It follows from Theorems 4.2 and 4.3. □

The following figure summarizes the results related to geometrical dualities for DMV-algebras. For the categories of algebras, **fgp** stands for finitely generated and projective, **fp** stands for finitely presented, **fpp** stands for finitely presented and projective. $\mathbf{rRatPol}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}]}$ and $\mathbf{rRatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}]}$ are the categories of polyhedra in some unit cube that are retract of the cube, with $\mathbb{Z}$-maps and $\mathbb{Q}$-maps respectively. $\mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}]}$ and $\mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}]}$ are the categories of polyhedra in some unit cube, with $\mathbb{Z}$-maps and $\mathbb{Q}$-maps respectively. $\mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathbf{RatPol}^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ are the categories of polyhedra in some $\mathbb{R}^n$, with $\mathbb{Z}$-maps and $\mathbb{Q}$-maps respectively.



Figure 1. DMV-algebras and rational polyhedra

Acknowledgment

S. Lapenta acknowledges partial support from the Italian National Research Project (PRIN2010-11) entitled *Metodi logici per il trattamento dellinformazione*. I. Leuştean was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research and Innovation, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-RU-TE-2014-4-0730.

References

[1] Baker K.A., *Free vector lattices*, Canad. J. Math 20 58-66 (1968) .

[2] Beynon W.M., *Duality theorem for finitely generated vector lattices*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 31(3) 114-128 (1975).

[3] Birkoff G., *Lattice Theory*, AMS Coll. Publ. 25, 3rd Ed., (1973).

[4] Chang C.C., *Algebraic analysis of many valued logics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 467-490 (1958).

[5] Chang C.C., *A new proof of the completeness of the Łukasiewicz axioms*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 93 74-80 (1959).

[6] Cignoli R., D'Ottaviano I.M.L., Mundici D., *Algebraic foundation of many valued Reasoning*, Kluwer Academic Publ. Dordrecht (1999).

[7] Diaconescu D., Leuştean I., *The Riesz Hull of a Semisimple MV-algebra*, Mathematica Slovaka (special issue in honor of Antonio Di Nola), 65(4) 801–816 (2015).

[8] Di Nola A., Dvurečenskij A., *Product MV-algebras*, Multiple-Valued Logics 6 193-215 (2001).

[9] Di Nola A., Flondor P., Leustean I., *MV-modules*, Journal of Algebra 267(1) 21-40 (2003).

[10] Di Nola A., Leuştean I., *Łukasiewicz Logic and MV-algebras*, Handbook of Mathematical Fuzzy Logic, Vol II (Cintula et al., eds). Studies in Logic 37, College Publications, London, (2011).

[11] Di Nola A., Leuştean I., *Łukasiewicz logic and Riesz Spaces*, Soft Computing, 18(12) 2349-2363 (2014).

[12] Di Nola A., Lenzi G., Vitale G., *Riesz-McNaughton functions and Riesz MV-algebras of nonlinear functions*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems (2016) DOI:10.1016/j.fss.2016.03.003.

[13] Gerla B., *Rational Łukasiewicz logic and Divisible MV-algebras*, Neural Networks World 10(11) 579-584 (2001).

[14] Lapenta S., *MV-algebras with products: connecting the Pierce-Birkhoff conjecture with Łukasiewicz logic*, PhD Thesis (2015).

[15] Lapenta S., Leustean I. *Towards understanding the Pierce-Birkhoff conjecture via MV-algebras*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 276, 114-130 (2015).

[16] Lapenta S., Leuştean I., *Scalar extensions for the algebraic structures of Łukasiewicz logic*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 220 1538-1553 (2016).

[17] Madden J., *l-groups of piecewise linear functions*, Ordered algebraic structures (Cincinnati, Ohio, 1982), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 99 117–124 (1985).

[18] Marra V., Spada L., *Duality, projectivity and unification in Łukasiewicz logic and MV-algebras*, Annals of Pure and Applied logic 164(3) 192-210 (2013).

[19] McNaughton R., *A theorem about infinite-valued sentential logic*, Journal of Symbolic Logic 16 1-13 (1951).

[20] Montagna F., *An algebraic approach to Propositional Fuzzy Logic*, Journal of Logic, Language and Information 9 91-124 (2000).

[21] 9 Mundici D., *Interpretation of ACF*-algebras in Łukasiewicz sentential calculus*, J. Funct. Anal. 65 15-63 (1986).

[22] Mundici D., *Tensor products and the Loomis-Sikorski theorem for MV-algebras*Advanced in Applied Mathematics 22 227-248 (1999).

[23] Mundici D., *Advances in Łukasiewicz calculus and MV-algebras*, Trends in Logic 35 Springer (2011).

[24] Ovchinnikov S., *Max-Min representation of piecewise linear functions*, Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie – Contributions to Algebra and Geometry 43(1) 297-302 (2002).